

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vugains 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/688,066	10/13/2000	Dr. Biancamaria Prozzo	TP/2-22108/A/PFE 287	1274
· -	90 05/16/2003	·	EXAM	INER
Ciba-Specialty Chemicals Corporation				
Patent Departm 540 White Plain	is Road		KUMAK,	PREETI
PO Box 2005			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Tarrytown, NY	10591-9005		1751	
(47)			DATE MAILED: 05/16/200	3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	icant(s)				
Advison, Action	09/688,066	PROZZO ET AL.				
Advisory Action	Examin r	Art Unit				
	Preeti Kumar	1751				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe						
THE REPLY FILED 21 April 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the nan SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	E FINAL REJECTION.	See MPEP			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exter 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortene (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	d statutory period for reply originally set in onths after the mailing date of the final rej	the final Office action; or ection, even if timely filed	(2) as set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	e's Brief must be filed within the FR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal	period set forth in of the appeal.				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered to	pecause:					
(a) Ithey raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) ⊠ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje	ection(s): <u>none</u> .					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) woul canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5.⊠ The a)□ affidavit, b)□ exhibit, or c)⊠ request f application in condition for allowance because: §	for reconsideration has been cor See <u>Attached</u> .	nsidered but does N	OT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendme explanation of how the new or amended claims	$nt(s)$ \boxtimes will not be entered or would be rejected is provided be	b)⊡ will be enteredelow or appended.	d and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follow						
Claim(s) allowed:			•			
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-9 and 11</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on			nminer.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statem	nent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·				
10. A Other: Interview Summary PTO-413						
_						

Application/Control Number: 09/688,066

Art Unit: 1751

ADVISORY ACTION

Response to Second Amendment After Final Rejection

1. The Second Amendment After Final Rejection does not simplify the issues or place the application in better form for appeal or for allowance, thus will not be entered. Specifically, the proposed amendments will not be entered because claims 7 and 8 both make components E and F optional and thus the prior art made of record is sufficient to overcome the material limitations of the claims as recited. As clearly stated in prior office actions, Stringer et al. provide suggestion to incorporate components A-D in a cleaning composition.

Specifically regarding component A Stringer et al. teach the utility of sodium cumene sulfonate which meets the limitation of formula I as recited by the applicants in claim 8 and on page 11 of the specification.

Specifically regarding component B, Stringer et al. teach the utility of primary aliphatic alcohol ethoxylates, and secondary aliphatic alcohol ethoxylates, alkylphenol ethoxylates. See col.5, ln.25-30.

Specifically regarding component C, Stringer et al. teach the utility of a C10 -C16 alkanol condensate with ethylene oxide and propylene oxide, the weight ratio of ethylene oxide to propylene oxide being 3:1 and the total alkoxy content being about 75% by weight. See col.6, In.34-36.

Specifically regarding component D, Stringer et al. teach a light duty liquid cleaning composition comprising water. See col.4, In. 48.

Application/Control Number: 09/688,066

Art Unit: 1751

Accordingly, Stringer et al. provide suggestion to incorporate components A-D in a cleaning composition.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed April 21, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicants seem to be rehashing the arguments made previously which have been fully responded to by the examiner in paper no. 6 and 8 and more clearly elaborated on above.

Conclusion

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Preeti Kumar whose telephone number is 703-305-0178. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00am - 5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yogendra Gupta can be reached on 703-308-4708. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-872-9309.

Preeti Kumar Examiner Art Unit 1751

PK May 14, 2003

YOGENDRA N. GUPTA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700